

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**ABSTRACT**

Public Servants – PR & RD Department – Allegation of violation of A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 against Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, P.R – Disciplinary action initiated – Enquiry report submitted – Awarding a punishment of “Censure” – Orders – Issued.

-X-

**PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG.II) DEPARTMENT**

G.O. Rt. No. 1604

Date.29.08.2012

Read the following:-

1. From G.A. (Vig. & Enf.) Dept. U.O. Note No. 23/V&E/NR-2/98, Dt. 9.8.1998.
2. Govt. Memo. No. 13580/TW. Ser. II-1/97-18, Dated. 9.7.1999
3. Written Statement of Defence submitted by Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, EE, PR., dt. 26.08.1999.
4. G.O. Rt. No.369 Social Welfare (TW Ser. II. 1) Dept., Dt. 24.05.2008
5. From the Commissioner of Enquiries, G.A (COI) Dept., D.O. Lr. No. 814/COI-CK/A1/2008, Dt. 8.12.2008
6. Govt Memo. No. 15585/Vig. II/A1/2009-7 PR & RD Dept., Dt. 9.11.2009
7. Explanation submitted by Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, P.R. dated. 12.03.2010

\*\*\*

**ORDER:**

It has been brought to the notice of the Government that Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, P.R. along with others have misappropriated Government funds of ITDA, Seethampeta, Srikakulam District, while the officer working in ITDA, Seethampeta, Srikakulam District. Disciplinary action was initiated under Rule 20 of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991, against the officer along with the others by calling his written statement of defence vide reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above and the Charged Officer has submitted his written statement of defence vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above.

2. After examining the matter it was decided to hold an enquiry against the Charged Officer and others and orders were issued entrusting the case to Commissioner of Inquiries, General Administration (COI) Department, for conducting detailed enquiry, as per Rule 20 (2) of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 vide reference 4<sup>th</sup> read above.

3. The Inquiry Officer has submitted her enquiry report, vide reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above. The Enquiry Officer in her findings has stated that if the department satisfies itself that the said works for which advances were given were executed properly though there was delay in adjustment of the advances, a light view can be taken against such officers. A copy of Inquiry Report was communicated to the Charged Officer, viz., Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, P.R. under Rule 21 (2) of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991; and directed to submit his representation, if any, vide reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above.

4. The Charged Officer in his representation 7<sup>th</sup> read above, while detailing the advances which are adjusted in the voucher numbers has denied the charges and to exempt him from the above matter without taking any further action.

5. Government after careful examination of the matter hereby decided and imposed a punishment of “Censure” to the Charged Officer viz., Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, PR.

6. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action, in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

C.R. BISWAL  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVT(PR)

To  
Sri B.V. Srinivas Kumar, AEE, P.R.  
**through** the Engineer-in-Chief,  
Panchayat Raj Dept, Hyderabad.  
The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj Dept.,  
Hyderabad.

Copy to:  
The Secretary to Vigilance Commissioner,  
A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad  
The Social Welfare (TW. Ser. II) Department  
(w.r.to Memo. No. 13580/TW. Ser. II-1/97-18, Dated. 9.7.1999)  
SF/SCs

//Forwarded By Order//

SECTION OFFICER